

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,867	01/30/2004	Manabu Komiyama	19546.0049	3903
75	90 09/02/2005		EXAM	INER
Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP			LIVEDALEN, BRIAN J	
Suite 300 3000 K Street, 1	٧W		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20007-5116			2878	
			DATE MAILED: 09/02/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			П.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/766,867	KOMIYAMA, MANABU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian J. Livedalen	2878	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	his action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	vance except for formal matter	·	
Disposition of Claims	•		
 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4-6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 January 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ob he drawing(s) be held in abeyanc ection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	ı.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap riority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	Λ∏ (-ti α	mmon/ (PTO 412)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/28/2004.	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) .·	

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

Claims are objected to because they are not on a separate page.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Orr et al. (US 2003/0189711).

In regard to claim 1, Orr discloses (fig. 8) a splitting element (10) operable to split light outputted from a light source (2); a polarization control device (11) operable to switch a polarization state of light outputted from the splitting element in response to a control signal; a filter device (20) operable to receive light outputted by the polarization control device wherein transmittance characteristic of the filter device changes depending on the polarization state of the input light; and a second monitoring device (not shown) to detect an intensity of the light transmitted through the filter device;

Art Unit: 2878

wherein a wavelength fluctuation of the light source is detected based on intensity of light detected by the first or second monitoring devices (page 11, paragraph 0145).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Orr et al. (US 2003/0189711) in view of Xia et al. (US 2003/0030881).

In regard to claims 2 and 3, Orr discloses an optical module having an etalon filter (page 11, paragraph 0142). Orr fails to disclose the filter device being arranged such that an angle of incidence of input light entering the filter device varies depending on the polarization state of the input light. However, Xia discloses (fig. 2A) arranging a filter (50) such that an angle of incidence of the input light entering the filter varies depending on the polarization from the polarization controller (fig. 1, 24). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to rotate the filter of Orr according to the teaching of Xia in order to obtain distinct outputs based on the distinct polarizations. Orr further fails to disclose the filter being a birefringent crystal. Xia further discloses (fig. 2A) a filter (50) including birefringent crystal, and a direction of an optical axis of the birefringent crystal is set such that an index of refraction for the input light entering the filter varies depending on the

polarization state of the input light. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the birefringent crystal of Xia in the optical module of Orr in order to further distinguish the filter output based on polarization.

Page 4

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The invention is not disclosed or made obvious by the prior art of record. The prior art does not disclose an optical module that compares outputs of the module while adjusting the polarity of one output by switching the polarization control device.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Livedalen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2715. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,867 . Page 5

Art Unit: 2878

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bjl

DAVIO PORTA SUPERVISORY PATENT * TECHNOLOGY CE!!